



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,391	11/19/2003	Dean L. Engelhardt	Enz-52(C2)	9721

28171 7590 04/15/2009
ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.
527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR)
NEW YORK, NY 10022

EXAMINER

SALMON, KATHERINE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1634

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/15/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/718,391	Applicant(s) ENGELHARDT ET AL.	
	Examiner KATHERINE SALMON	Art Unit 1634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 91-103 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 91-103 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to papers filed 1/27/2009.
2. Currently Claims 91-103 are pending. Claims 1-90 have been cancelled.
3. The following rejections for claims 91-103 are newly applied.
4. This action is nonfinal.

Withdrawn Rejections

5. The rejections to the claims under 35 USC 103(a) made in sections 10-15 are withdrawn.
6. The terminal disclaimer filed on 1/27/2009 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/713183 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 91-94 and 97-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scheele (US Patent 5162209 November 10, 1992).

With regard to Claim 91, step a, Scheele teaches providing a first DNA strand from genomic DNA (e.g. a nucleic sample containing the sequence of a specific sample) (column 3 lines 25 and Column 5 lines 30-35).

With regard to step b, Scheele teaches contacting the DNA with dNTPs (e.g. unmodified nucleic acid precursors) (Column 4 lines 20-22). Scheele et al. teaches a primer sequence which is substantially complementary to the distinct sequence of said specific nucleic acid (Column 3 lines 33-40). Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15).

Scheele. teaches a primer comprising an RNA segment (Column 3 lines 33-40). Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15). Scheele teaches a method of adding RNase H (Column 4 lines 25).

With regard to step c, Scheele teaches a method of carrying out synthesis in the presence of the RNA primer to generate a polynucleotide comprising an RNA/DNA hybrid (Column 3 lines 30-40 and Figure 5). The instant specification does not define isostatic conditions of temperature, buffer and ionic strength. Scheele teaches combining the primer and the DNA molecule in a reagent solution at a particular heat with buffers that have a particular ionic strength to produce at least one copy of the DNA molecule by extension of the primer (Column 8 lines 1-15). Therefore Scheele teaches

Art Unit: 1634

a method of allowing the mixture to react under isostatic condition of temperature, buffer, and ionic strength.

With regard to step d, Scheele teaches a method of digesting the substrate with RNase H to remove the ribonucleic acid segment of the extended primer (Column 3 lines 30-40, column 4 lines 25-28, and Figure 5). Scheele teaches that the method can be adapted to permit amplification of the sample of dsDNA by PCR methodology (column 8 lines 58-60). Scheele teaches that the reagents of the RNA primer, DNA target, dNTPs and Taq are placed into a PCR machine with the appropriate number of PCR temperature cycles (column 8 lines 65-69). Therefore in a PCR cycle the resultant dsDNA of step c would be denatured such that multiple copies of the DNA could be copied from the DNA of interest.

With regard to Claims 92-93, Scheele et al. teaches a method of removing the primer portion with RNase H (e.g. digestion with an enzyme) (column 4 lines 24-26).

With regard to Claim 94, Scheele et al. teaches a primer comprising an RNA segment (Column 3 lines 33-40).

With regard to Claim 97, Scheele et al teaches that the primer is comprised of a tail which is composed of nucleoside triphosphates (column 3 Table 1 and Column 4 lines 5-10). Scheele et al. teaches that the triphosphate was radiolabelled and as such was modified (column 8 lines 35-40).

With regard to Claim 98, Scheele teaches that the primer includes a portion of nucleotides that is complementary to an oligonucleotide tail added to the 3' end of the target DNA template (column 3 lines 25-35). Therefore the primers include a sequence

Art Unit: 1634

which is complementary to the tail and therefore not complementary to the sequence of the DNA molecule of interest (e.g. the tail is added to the DNA target of interest but the tail is not considered the DNA which is of interest to be amplified) (Figure 5 and column 3 lines 25-40). Therefore Scheele et al. teaches primers which comprises about 1 to about 200 noncomplementary nucleotides.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1634

9. Claims 95-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheele (US Patent 5162209 November 10, 1992) in view of Gelfand et al. (US Patent 5374553 December 20, 1994).

Scheele teaches providing a first DNA strand from genomic DNA (e.g. a nucleic sample containing the sequence of a specific sample) (column 3 lines 25 and Column 5 lines 30-35).

Scheele teaches contacting the DNA with dNTPs (e.g. unmodified nucleic acid precursors) (Column 4 lines 20-22). Scheele et al. teaches a primer sequence which is substantially complementary to the distinct sequence of said specific nucleic acid (Column 3 lines 33-40). Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15).

Scheele. teaches a primer comprising an RNA segment (Column 3 lines 33-40). Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15). Scheele teaches a method of adding RNase H (Column 4 lines 25).

Scheele teaches a method of carrying out synthesis in the presence of the RNA primer to generate a polynucleotide comprising an RNA/DNA hybrid (Column 3 lines 30-40 and Figure 5). The instant specification does not define isostatic conditions of temperature, buffer and ionic strength. Scheele teaches combining the primer and the DNA molecule in a reagent solution at a particular heat with buffers that have a particular ionic strength to produce at least one copy of the DNA molecule by extension

Art Unit: 1634

of the primer (Column 8 lines 1-15). Therefore Scheele teaches a method of allowing the mixture to react under isostatic condition of temperature, buffer, and ionic strength.

Scheele teaches a method of digesting the substrate with RNase H to remove the ribonucleic acid segment of the extended primer (Column 3 lines 30-40, column 4 lines 25-28, and Figure 5). Scheele teaches that the method can be adapted to permit amplification of the sample of dsDNA by PCR methodology (column 8 lines 58-60). Scheele teaches that the reagents of the RNA primer, DNA target, dNTPs and Taq are placed into a PCR machine with the appropriate number of PCR temperature cycles (column 8 lines 65-69). Therefore in a PCR cycle the resultant dsDNA of step c would be denatured such that multiple copies of the DNA could be copied from the DNA of interest.

However, Scheele et al. does not teach the modification of the primers to comprise an isosteric configuration of heteroatoms.

With regard to Claims 95-96, Gelfand et al teaches that to avoid primer degradation in PCR, phosphorothioate (e.g. comprises of sulfur heteroatom) can be added to the 3' ends of the primers to allow the primers to be more resistance to degradation (Column 13 lines 15-20).

Therefore it would be prima facie obvious to modify the in vitro translation method of Scheele et al. to have a phosphorothioate (sulfur heteroatoms) on the 3' end of the primers as taught by Gelfand et al. in order to maintain the primers during the PCR step of Scheele et al (column 8 lines 58-60) to produce multiple copies of the nucleic acid of interest. The ordinary artisan would be motivated to modify the primer of

Art Unit: 1634

Scheele et al. to include the phosphorothioate (sulfur heteroatoms) of Gelfand et al., because Gelfand et al. teaches that the addition of phosphorothioate to the primers ends allows the primers to be more resistant to degradation (column 13 lines 15-20). Therefore the ordinary artisan would be motivated to modify the primers of Scheele et al. to include the phosphorothioate (sulfur heteroatom) of Gelfand et al. because primers resistant to degradation can be maintained longer in a PCR and therefore more copies of the original nucleic acid may be produced.

10. Claims 99-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheele (US Patent 5162209 November 10, 1992) in view of Reischl et al. (US Patent 5474916 December 12, 1995).

With regard to Claim 99, step a, Scheele teaches providing a first DNA strand from genomic DNA (e.g. a nucleic sample containing the sequence of a specific sample) (column 3 lines 25 and Column 5 lines 30-35).

With regard to step b, Scheele teaches contacting the DNA with dNTPs (e.g. unmodified nucleic acid precursors) (Column 4 lines 20-22). Scheele et al. teaches a primer sequence which includes a portion of nucleotides that is complementary to an oligonucleotide tail added to the 3' end of the target DNA template (column 3 lines 25-35). Therefore the primers include a sequence which is complementary to the tail and therefore not complementary to the sequence of the DNA molecule of interest (e.g. the tail is added to the DNA target of interest but the tail is not considered the DNA which is of interest to be amplified) (Figure 5 and column 3 lines 25-40). As such the primer

Art Unit: 1634

comprises at least one noncomplementary nucleotide. However Scheele et al. does not teach the formation of a loop structure.

Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15).

Scheele. teaches a primer comprising an RNA segment (Column 3 lines 33-40). Scheele teaches a method of adding E. coli DNA polymerase I (e.g. effective amount of nucleic acid producing catalyst) (column 4 lines 14-15). Scheele teaches a method of adding RNase H (Column 4 lines 25).

With regard to step c, Scheele teaches a method of carrying out synthesis in the presence of the RNA primer to generate a polynucleotide comprising an RNA/DNA hybrid (Column 3 lines 30-40 and Figure 5). The instant specification does not define isostatic conditions of temperature, buffer and ionic strength. Scheele teaches combining the primer and the DNA molecule in a reagent solution at a particular heat with buffers that have a particular ionic strength to produce at least one copy of the DNA molecule by extension of the primer (Column 8 lines 1-15). Therefore Scheele teaches a method of allowing the mixture to react under isostatic condition of temperature, buffer, and ionic strength.

With regard to step d, Scheele teaches a method of digesting the substrate with RNase H to remove the ribonucleic acid segment of the extended primer which would include the tail portion of the primer (Column 3 lines 30-40, column 4 lines 25-28, and Figure 5). Scheele teaches that the method can be adapted to permit amplification of the sample of dsDNA by PCR methodology (column 8 lines 58-60). Scheele teaches

Art Unit: 1634

that the reagents of the RNA primer, DNA target, dNTPs and Taq are placed into a PCR machine with the appropriate number of PCR temperature cycles (column 8 lines 65-69). Therefore in a PCR cycle the resultant dsDNA of step c would be denatured such that multiple copies of the DNA could be copied from the DNA of interest.

With regard to Claims 100-101, Scheele et al. teaches a method of removing the primer portion with RNase H (e.g. digestion with an enzyme) (column 4 lines 24-26).

With regard to Claim 102, Scheele et al. teaches a primer comprising an RNA segment (Column 3 lines 33-40).

With regard to Claim 103, Scheele teaches that the primer includes a portion of nucleotides that is complementary to an oligonucleotide tail added to the 3' end of the target DNA template (column 3 lines 25-35). Therefore the primers include a sequence which is complementary to the tail and therefore not complementary to the sequence of the DNA molecule of interest (e.g. the tail is added to the DNA target of interest but the tail is not considered the DNA which is of interest to be amplified) (Figure 5 and column 3 lines 25-40). Therefore Scheele et al. teaches primers which comprises about 1 to about 200 noncomplementary nucleotides.

However, Scheele et al. does not teaches a method wherein the primers form a loop structure which is removed from the complementary copy.

With regard to Claim 99, Reischl et al teaches a method wherein the primer comprises a loop structure at the end which is used by the polymerase as an initiator site to synthesis the DNA structure (Colum 12 lines 60-66-Column 13 lines 1-10).

Therefore it would be obvious to modify the tail portion of the primer of Scheele et al. to

Art Unit: 1634

include a loop structure which upon hybridization to the template would be used as an initiator site for synthesis. Scheele et al. teaches that the primer is then digested with RNASH and therefore the loop structure would be removed from the complementary strand.

Therefore it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Scheele et al. such that a primer with a loop on the end is used to synthesis DNA as taught by Reischl et al. The ordinary artisan would be motivated to add a loop to the primer structure of Scheele et al. because Reischl et al. teaches that this loop contains a region for the polymerase to start making a complementary copy of the structure (Colum 12 lines 60-66-Column 13 lines 1-10). Therefore the ordinary artisan would be motivated to have a loop structure so that the polymerase has an initial starting point for transcription and removing the loop structure along with the rest of the primer with RNase H after synthesis in order to allowing for a new strand to be synthesized. .

Conclusion

11. No claims are allowable over the cited prior art.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine Salmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-430PM.

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Katherine Salmon/
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

/Ram R. Shukla/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1634